

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/924,490	WOLLASTON ET AL.	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Robert P. Swiatek. (3) _____

(2) Mr. Stanley C. Spooner. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 February 2007 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Possible 35 USC 102(b)

Claims discussed:

16, 40

Prior art documents discussed:

Holt et al. (US 5,713,507); Aota et al. (US 6,581,819 B1)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative authorized changes to the above claims that define the thicknesses of component materials across the weld joint in order to differentiate over slight variations in weld joint thickness resulting from the friction stir welding process. See examiner's amendment..